SENATE BILL REPORT HB 1447

As Reported By Senate Committee On: Government Operations & Elections, March 31, 2005

Title: An act relating to establishing a pilot project to examine the use of instant runoff voting for nonpartisan offices.

Brief Description: Establishing a pilot project to examine the use of instant runoff voting for nonpartisan offices.

Sponsors: Representatives Moeller, Jarrett, Morrell, Nixon, Fromhold, Kessler, Lantz, Upthegrove, Appleton, Green, Lovick, Dunshee, Buri, P. Sullivan, Ericks, Pettigrew, Schual-Berke, Simpson, Ormsby, Tom, Sells, Dickerson, McDermott, Wood, Santos, Hasegawa and Kilmer.

Brief History: Passed House: 3/08/05, 63-34.

Committee Activity: Government Operations & Elections: 3/22/05, 3/31/05 [DP].

SENATE COMMITTEE ON GOVERNMENT OPERATIONS & ELECTIONS

Majority Report: Do pass.

Signed by Senators Kastama, Chair; Berkey, Vice Chair; Roach, Ranking Minority Member; Benton, Haugen, Kline, McCaslin, Mulliken and Pridemore.

Staff: Diane Smith (786-7410)

Background: IRV is an election method requiring candidates to receive a majority, rather than a plurality, of the votes cast in a particular race. While requirements vary, under instant runoff voting (IRV), voters may select more than one candidate for a single race and rank candidates in a preferential order. A candidate receiving a majority of "first choice" votes is elected. If no candidate receives a majority of the votes cast in the race after the first counting stage, the "second choice" designations indicated on the ballots cast for the candidate receiving the fewest votes become additional votes for the candidates indicated on those ballot choices. This process generally continues until a candidate receives a majority of the votes cast for the position or until all but one candidate has been eliminated.

Provisions for IRV do not exist in Washington law.

In Washington, city and town primary elections are nonpartisan and are held when more than two candidates file for the same position. Generally, if a primary was held for a nonpartisan office, the general election ballot must contain the names of the two candidates receiving the highest vote totals, listed in that order. If no primary was held, the order of the candidate names for the general election ballot is determined by a lot drawing by the county filing officer. The candidate receiving the highest vote total in the general election is elected to the office.

Senate Bill Report - 1 - HB 1447

Summary of Bill: Upon receiving sufficient notification from a qualifying county auditor by January 1, 2007, the Office of the Secretary of State (OSOS) must conduct a five-year pilot project to study the effects of using IRV as a local option for nonpartisan offices in any qualifying city. The pilot project must begin by August 1, 2008, and conclude by July 1, 2013.

For the purposes of the pilot project, a qualifying city must:

- be classified as a first class city;
- have a population greater than 140,000 and less than 200,000; and
- have demonstrated support for IRV by approving a city charter amendment authorizing the city council to use IRV for the election of city officers.

Following the timely receipt of a notification of participation from a qualifying county auditor, notification that obligates participation by the auditor, the OSOS, in part, must:

- certify at least one city in that county to qualify and participate in the pilot project;
- develop and adopt rules governing the conduct of IRV elections;
- develop a pilot project time line; and
- certify all election equipment and related processes before an IRV election.

Additionally, the OSOS must submit a report of findings to the appropriate committees of the Legislature by July 1, 2013, that include:

- an assessment of all elections conducted using the IRV method;
- recommendations for statutory, rule, and procedural modifications that would be required prior to implementing IRV as a permanent alternative election method;
- an inventory of available election equipment and costs; and
- any recommendations from local government officials participating in the pilot project.

Upon the satisfaction of pilot project requirements, the legislative body of a qualifying city may adopt IRV as a method for electing candidates for all nonpartisan city offices during the pilot project. The city must, before conducting an IRV election, notify the applicable county auditor and the OSOS of its intent to hold such an election.

If the county auditor notifies the city that existing county election equipment is insufficient for the conduct of an IRV election, the city and county must reach an agreement for the purchase of any new equipment required for the election. The returns of an IRV election may, however, be canvassed by hand.

No primary election may be held for nonpartisan offices in any first class city if the city is a participant in the pilot project and is conducting an authorized IRV election. Participating cities conducting an IRV election must certify the results on or before the thirtieth day after the election.

Provisions related to the conduct of the pilot project, including tabulation and time line requirements, and ballot design and processing specifications, are established.

The pilot project and related amendatory provisions expire on July 1, 2013.

Appropriation: None.

Fiscal Note: Not requested.

Committee/Commission/Task Force Created: No.

Effective Date: Ninety days after adjournment of session in which bill is passed.

Testimony For: The Secretary of State is skeptical but will comply. This bill is only in Vancouver which passed a city charter provision five years ago.

Testimony Against: None.

Who Testified: PRO: Shane Hamlin, Office of Secretary of State; Mark Brown, City of Vancouver.